24th August 1925]

A.—(*a*) The notification issued states that all permits in which fields are described by the old survey numbers are cancelled.

(*b*) This was necessary because the fields could not be identified by those numbers and it was necessary to ascertain in all cases the new survey numbers.

(*c*) Landholders have been directed to put in fresh applications with the correct numbers by the new survey. Permits will issue on receipt of these applications. But those who fail to comply with the directions will be liable to penalty.

Mr. A. CHIDAMBARA NADAR :—" Sir, with regard to the question asked in clause (*c*), namely, 'whether it is a fact that Collector insists on every person irrigating or possessing landed interest in a certain land to obtain fresh permission in his name on pain of penalty in spite of the fact that the land was already given permanent supply and was included under a well-defined ayacut or a sluice in the channel', a different answer has been given. So I want a direct answer to my question as to whether persons irrigating or possessing some interest in land are asked to obtain fresh permission in their name."

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS :—" I have no further answer to give than that which appears on the paper."

332 *Q.*—Cancelled.

Land Revenue.

Assignment of poramboke lands to depressed classes in Kamalapuram.

*333 *Q.*—Mr. R. VEERIAN : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue and the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many acres of Government poramboke land in Kamalapuram have already been assigned to the members of the depressed classes as well as to the members of the caste Hindus of the said Kamalapuram village near Omalur, Salem district, for cultivation and house-sites respectively ; and

(*b*) how many acres of land are still left in the village for assignment for house-sites and for cultivation ?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The Government have not the information nor is the question quite understood. It is not usual to assign poramboke land for cultivation to anybody. If the hon. Member will explain more clearly what the information is that he seeks, there will probably be no difficulty in obtaining it for him.

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" My question is why non-depressed classes are treated more liberally in the matter of assignment of poramboke lands than the members of the depressed classes, who do not possess a single cent of poramboke land, whereas non-depressed classes possess patta lands."

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS :—" I am not aware that that is so."

Mr. R. VEERIAN :—" In that case, will the hon. Member be pleased to call for the information ?"

The hon. Mr. N. E. MARJORIBANKS :—" If the complaint is regarding assignments for house-sites, I shall be glad to do so."

At this stage the hon. the Deputy President took the chair.

Mr. M. Ratnaswami :—" Sir, there is a mistake with regard to the numbering of questions. We find that question No. 332 has been pasted over."

The hon. the Deputy President :—" A revised answer will be pasted over afterwards"

Remission of land revenue in Neelamangalam village.

* 334 Q.—Mr. R. Srinivasa Ayyangar : With reference to the answer given on 4th March 1925 to my question No. 344 regarding remission of land revenue in Neelamangalam village, Kallakkurichchi taluk, South Arcot district, will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to call for the information in respect of clauses (b) to (h) thereof ?

A.—The answers to clauses (b) to (h) of question No. 344 asked at the last March meeting are given below :—

(b) The proximity of the Kiranur anicut to the Neelamangalam anicut does affect the supply to the latter. It is not known which was first constructed.

(c) Yes.

(d) Four applications covering an amount of Rs. 81–11–0.

(e) No remission was granted.

(f) Rupees 968–14–0 recommended both by the Revenue Inspector and the Tahsildar.

(g) Rupees 519–10–7.

(h) Of the amount stated in clause (g), Rs. 476 was collected after land was attached but before it was notified for sale.

An extent of 7·24 acres (4·15 dry and 3·09 wet) was notified for sale for the arrears of Rs. 43–10–7. Of this, a sum of Rs. 36–0–1 was paid by the parties concerned after the sale had taken place but before it was confirmed. A plot of 4·15 acres of dry land was sold in respect of the remaining sum due, namely, Rs. 7–10–6. But the sale has not yet been confirmed.

Survey and Settlement.

Resurvey of South Kanara district

* 335 Q.—Mr. J. A. Saldanha : With reference to G.O. No. 584, dated 22nd April 1925, on the subject of the Land Records Department, will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to refer to the last sentence in paragraph 8 of the order and to state—

(a) what justification there is for the resurvey of the district by a special survey establishment ;

(b) (i) what is the establishment employed for the resurvey of South Kanara district and (ii) what is the annual cost ;

(c) what will be the probable approximate cost of the resurvey of the whole district ;